

To whom it may concern,

Our household is unified in its strong wish for net neutrality. To this end, we believe reclassifying Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under Title I regulation would be a significant mistake. Title II regulation has protected consumers and content producers alike from the bad behavior of ISPs with conflicting interests. Contrary to the "Myths and Facts" document furnished by the FCC, as well as Chairman Pai's own comments, we find the reasons for reclassifying ISPs under Title I to be flawed. Concerning ISP infrastructure investment, investment generally takes place on an uneven timeline, so it's difficult to draw clear conclusions from narrow statistics around regulation events. However, if one were to parse the earnings call and investor conference transcripts of publically traded ISPs, the insignificance of regulatory impact on infrastructure investment would be abundantly clear. Secondly, contrary to the FCC's position that before 2015, the Internet was open and free, there are documented accounts of ISPs altering the bandwidth of competing content producers under Title I classification. Why would they alter their behavior if the FCC takes restrictions off of their often bad behavior? Third, in terms of Internet privacy, it is highly suspect of Chairman Pai to suggest that Title II would not protect consumers since Chairman Pai repealed the strong protections put in place by Title II. We find this highly suspect behavior for a FCC Chairman and it makes us question with whom Chairman Pai's loyalties lie. It would seem to us that it does not lie with Americans who are in the weakest position and in most need of government protection through tough rules on powerful companies.

We urge you to turn back from the precipice of betraying your fellow Americans to corporate interests. Keep Title II.

Sincerely,  
William and Jennifer Guerrant.